

Research Article

Genetic Diversity of *Melipona mandacaia* SMITH 1863 (Hymenoptera, Apidae), an Endemic Bee Species from Brazilian Caatinga, Using ISSR

Elder Assis Miranda,¹ Henrique Batalha-Filho,²
Priscila Santos Oliveira,¹ Rogério Marcos Oliveira Alves,³
Lucio Antonio Oliveira Campos,⁴ and Ana Maria Waldschmidt¹

¹ Departamento de Ciências Biológicas, Universidade Estadual do Sudoeste da Bahia, Avenida José Moreira Sobrinho s/n, 45206-190 Jequié, BA, Brazil

² Departamento de Genética e Biologia Evolutiva, Instituto de Biociências, USP, Rua do Matão 277, Cidade Universitária, 05508-090 São Paulo, SP, Brazil

³ Escola Agrotécnica Federal de Catu, Rua Barão de Camacari 118, 48110-000 Catu, BA, Brazil

⁴ Departamento de Biologia Geral, Universidade Federal de Viçosa, Avenida Peter Henry Rolfs s/n Campus Universitário, 36571-000 Viçosa, MG, Brazil

Correspondence should be addressed to Elder Assis Miranda, elderuesb@gmail.com

Received 18 August 2011; Revised 11 October 2011; Accepted 12 October 2011

Academic Editor: Martin Hasselmann

Copyright © 2012 Elder Assis Miranda et al. This is an open access article distributed under the Creative Commons Attribution License, which permits unrestricted use, distribution, and reproduction in any medium, provided the original work is properly cited.

In order to evaluate the genetic diversity and structure of *Melipona mandacaia*, we analyzed 104 colonies collected in 12 localities in Bahia state, northeastern Brazil, using ISSR-PCR. A total of 109 bands were obtained with a significant polymorphism of 72.47%. Estimates of genetic diversity indicated low values of heterozygosity (H_e and H_B values were 0.2616 and 0.2573, resp.). These reduced values have been reported in other studies in stingless bees and maybe justified by dispersion process in the origin of new nests. AMOVA revealed that the higher percentage of variation is within localities (70.39%). The Φ_{ST} and θ_B values were, respectively, 0.2961 and 0.3289, thereby indicating a moderate population structuring. The correlation between genetic and geographic distances ($r = 0.4542$; $P < 0.01$) suggests isolation by distance. Our study contributes to describing the genetic diversity of endemic organisms from Caatinga and may help future efforts to preserve this threatened biome.

1. Introduction

The bee species *Melipona mandacaia* SMITH 1863 belongs to the subtribe Meliponina, which comprises the stingless bees. This species is endemic to Caatinga biome, being widespread in the Brazilian states of Piauí, Ceará, Bahia, Paraíba, and Pernambuco, usually close to São Francisco River [1, 2]. It plays an important role in Caatinga, acting as specific pollinators of this biome, besides presenting a great potential in meliponiculture [3].

The Caatinga is an exclusively Brazilian biome, comprising a wide drought belt in South America. This biome encompasses about 800,000 km² (8.6% of Brazilian territory)

being surrounded by Atlantic Forest to the east, Amazon Forest to the west, and Brazilian savannah (Cerrado) to the south [4].

The genetic resources from Caatinga suffer accentuated pressure by continuous deforestation and expansion of agricultural frontiers. Consequently, the number of trees used for nesting of stingless bees is becoming more and more scarce [5], leading to population decreases or even local extinction of some bee species [6]. Studies in Caatinga bees have shown several peculiarities, such as endemism and specific interactions between bees and local flora [7]. Therefore, knowledge about this ecosystem and its potentialities, as well as the conservation of original covers, is essential.




FIGURE 1: Map of collection sites of *M. mandacaia*. The grey area represents the Caatinga biome and the black thick line the São Francisco River. The circles indicate the sampled localities: 1 (Paulo Afonso), 2 (Macururé), 3 (Uauá), 4 (Juazeiro), 5 (Lapão), 6 (Irecê), 7 (São Gabriel), 8 (Central), 9 (Uibaí), 10 (Morpará), 11 (Muquém do S. Francisco), and 12 (Serra do Ramalho).

However, little is known about the genetic population structure of endemic species from Caatinga, and the conservation and the importance of its biota to local biodiversity remain overlooked [8]. Studies of genetic diversity in natural populations usually refer to quantification of levels of variation within and among populations [9]. When combined to other biological aspects such as reproductive behavior and dispersion process, these studies are able to provide valuable insights for defining conservation programs of a given species [10].

Amongst the several molecular markers available for genetic studies, the Inter Single Sequence Repeats (ISSRs) stands out as an efficient technique in the genome characterization of plants, fungi, vertebrates, and insects [11]. This methodology allows detecting polymorphism in DNA regions flanked by microsatellites without requiring previous isolation and sequencing of specific DNA fragments.

Moreover, the estimates of genetic diversity of *M. mandacaia* might contribute for defining further management and conservation strategies. Therefore, the goal of the present study was to estimate the genetic diversity and structure of *M. mandacaia* throughout Bahia state, focusing on three main questions. (i) How much genetic diversity is there in *M. mandacaia*? (ii) How this diversity is structured? (iii) Is the genetic variation correlated to geographic distribution?

2. Material and Methods

2.1. Sampling and DNA Extraction. Samples of 104 colonies of *M. mandacaia* were collected in 12 localities in Caatinga along Bahia state between 2003 and 2010 (Figure 1 and Table 1). The collected specimens were stored in absolute ethanol and kept at -20°C prior analyses. The total DNA was extracted from one worker bee per colony, based on the methodology proposed by Waldschmidt et al. [12]. The DNA samples were quantified in 0.8% agarose gel to verify their concentration and integrity.

TABLE 1: Sampled localities of *Melipona mandacaia*, number of colonies per locality (N), altitude, and geographic coordinates.

Code/Locality	N	Altitude (m)	Longitude (W)	Latitude (S)
PA—Paulo Afonso	10	243	$38^{\circ} 12' 52''$	$9^{\circ} 24' 22''$
MC—Macururé	12	357	$39^{\circ} 03' 26''$	$9^{\circ} 10' 03''$
UA—Uauá	14	439	$39^{\circ} 28' 53''$	$9^{\circ} 50' 29''$
JU—Juazeiro	14	368	$40^{\circ} 29' 55''$	$9^{\circ} 24' 43''$
LA—Lapão	3	775	$41^{\circ} 49' 54''$	$11^{\circ} 22' 60''$
IR—Irecê	6	721	$41^{\circ} 51' 20''$	$11^{\circ} 18' 16''$
SG—São Gabriel	8	692	$41^{\circ} 54' 43''$	$11^{\circ} 13' 46''$
CE—Central	3	698	$42^{\circ} 06' 45''$	$11^{\circ} 08' 09''$
UI—Uibaí	15	582	$42^{\circ} 07' 56''$	$11^{\circ} 20' 13''$
MO—Morpará	6	405	$43^{\circ} 16' 51''$	$11^{\circ} 33' 31''$
MU—Muquém do S. Francisco	6	560	$39^{\circ} 31' 59''$	$12^{\circ} 18' 60''$
SR—Serra do Ramalho	15	497	$43^{\circ} 35' 48''$	$13^{\circ} 33' 45''$

2.2. ISSR-PCR. Firstly, 70 ISSR primers (manufactured by UBC) were tested and 15 were selected based on their reproducibility, definition, and number of bands. Afterwards, the effects of concentration of primer (0.05; 0.10, and 0.15 μM), DNA (10 and 50 ng), and annealing temperature (48 to 60°C) were analyzed. After optimizing the PCRs, 10 primers were selected for population analyses (Table 2). The amplification reactions were adjusted based on the methodology proposed by Eiadthong et al. [13].

The PCRs comprised a final volume of 25 μL including 10 ng of template DNA, 2.5 μL of 10x buffer (Biotools), 2.0 μL of dNTPs at 200 μM each, 0.5 U of Taq polymerase (Biotools) and 0.4 pmoles of primer. The PCR conditions involved an

TABLE 2: Selected ISSR primers with their respective sequences, number of bands, and percentage of polymorphism.

Primers	Sequence (5' → 3')	Total number of bands	Number of polymorphic bands	%
UBC 807	AGAGAGAGAGAGAGAGT	10	10	100
UBC 808	AGAGAGAGAGAGAGAGC	10	5	50.0
UBC 811	GAGAGAGAGAGAGAGAC	10	6	60.0
UBC 813	CTCTCTCTCTCTCTT	9	6	66.6
UBC 815	CTCTCTCTCTCTCTG	13	10	77.0
UBC 835	AGAGAGAGAGAGAGAGYC	14	11	78.6
UBC 841	GAGAGAGAGAGAGAGACTC	8	6	75.0
UBC 855	ACACACACACACACCTT	10	9	90.0
UBC 857	ACACACACACACACACYG	11	7	63.6
UBC 889	AGTCGTAGTACACACACACAC	14	8	57.1

initial denaturation step at 94°C for 3 minutes, followed by 40 cycles at 92°C for 1 minute, 53°C for 2 minutes, and 72°C for 2 minutes; plus a final extension step at 72°C for 7 minutes. All reactions included a negative control with all PCR components but without DNA. The PCR products were separated by electrophoresis in 1.2% agarose gel with 0.2 µg/ml of ethidium bromide, immersed in 1X TBE buffer (Tris-Borate 90 mM, EDTA 1 mM, and pH 8.0). The DNA fragments (bands) were visualized under ultraviolet light and photodocumented.

2.3. Data Analysis. The amplification products were transformed into binary data according to the presence (1) or absence (0) of bands. The genetic diversity (H_e) [14] and the percentage of polymorphic loci were estimated using the software TFPGA v1.3 [15].

The analysis of molecular variance (AMOVA) [16] and the estimate of structuring index among localities (Φ_{ST}) were performed using the software Arlequin v3.5.1.2 [17]. The test significance was based on 1,000 permutations.

Genetic diversity and population structure were also estimated using the Bayesian approach [18] available in the software HICKORY 1.1 [19]. The H_B and θ_B indexes, analog with H_e and Φ_{ST} , respectively, were estimated as well. The analyses were carried out using four *a priori* models: full model, $f = 0$ model, $\theta = 0$ model, and f free model. The best model was determined based on the deviance information criterion (DIC) according to software's authors, in which the lowest DIC values indicate the best adjusted model. A total of 100,000 MCMC (Markov Chain Monte Carlo) generations and a burn-in of 20% were implemented. The analyses were run twice in order to check the convergence of parameters.

Mantel's test was also performed to check the correlation between geographic distance and Φ_{ST} values amongst localities. This test was applied in order to verify whether distance isolation between localities was present or not. The analyses were carried out using the software Arlequin v3.5.1.2 [16] and the statistical significance was based on 1,000 permutations.

3. Results

The 10 selected ISSR primers yielded 109 bands with a mean polymorphism of 72.47% (Table 2). The primers UBC-889 and UBC-835 presented the highest number of bands (14) while UBC-841 had the lowest number (8). The primer UBC 807 presented the highest polymorphism (100%). The mean number of bands per primer was 10.9. The direct estimate of gene diversity (H_e) was equal to 0.2616.

The genetic structure based on AMOVA partitioned in two hierarchical levels showed that 70.39% of genetic variation was within localities whereas 29.61% of variation was related to variation among localities. The Φ_{ST} value was 0.2961 ($P < 0.000001$; Table 3). When three hierarchical levels were considered (assuming localities between left and right bank of the São Francisco River as groups in the third hierarchical level) in AMOVA, no genetic variation among groups was revealed, resulting in 70.43% of variation observed among localities within groups and 29.67% within localities (Table 3). The Φ_{ST} obtained using three hierarchical levels was equal to 0.29568. The pairwise Φ_{ST} estimate among localities showed sites with moderate genetic structure and others with extremely low Φ_{ST} values (Table 4).

When genetic diversity was estimated using Bayesian analysis, the full model presented the best adjustment based on DIC (Table 5). The estimates of genetic diversity (H_B) and genetic structure (θ_B) in this analysis were 0.2573 (highest posterior density (HPD) 97.5%: lower 0.2397, upper 0.2759) and 0.3289 (HPD 97.5%: lower 0.2746, upper 0.3809), respectively.

Mantel's test showed a positive correlation between genetic and geographic distances ($r = 0.4542$; $P < 0.01$), indicating isolation by distance among localities.

4. Discussion

The significant percentage of polymorphism and the mean number of bands obtained were 72.47% and 10.9, respectively. These values differ from previous reports in other species of Hymenoptera. Nascimento et al. [20] using 11 ISSR primers observed 13.36 bands per primer, on average,

TABLE 3: Analysis of molecular variance (AMOVA) with two hierarchical levels and with three hierarchical levels, testing the São Francisco River as a geographic barrier in *M. mandacaia*.

Source of variation	d.f	Sum of squares	Variance components	Variation (%)	P value
<i>Two hierarchical levels</i>					
among populations	11	340.738	2.85713 Va	29.61	<0.001
within populations	92	624.724	6.79048 Vb	70.39	
Total	103	965.462	9.6476		
Fixation index	$\Phi_{ST} = 0.2961$				
<i>Three hierarchical levels</i>					
Among groups	1	39.166	-0.00989 Va	0	<0.001
Among populations within groups	10	301.571	2.86056 Va	29.67	
within populations	92	624.724	6.79048 Vb	70.43	
Total	103	965.462	9.64115		
Fixation index	$\Phi_{ST} = 0.29640$				

Populations refer to sampled localities.

TABLE 4: Matrix of Φ_{ST} values for each pairwise combination among specimens from 12 localities based on 109 ISSR loci.

	PA	MC	UA	JU	LA	IR	SG	CE	UI	MO	MU	SR
PA	0											
MC	0.55677	0										
UA	0.32338	0.34428	0									
JU	0.46979	0.15931	0.24881	0								
LA	0.49314	0.4858	0.28199	0.29895	0							
IR	0.38122	0.32182	0.23765	0.19623	0.09091	0						
SG	0.33165	0.32086	0.16698	0.15168	0.09663	0.10783	0					
CE	0.55384	0.46495	0.29448	0.29988	0.18367	0.16749	0.2235	0				
UI	0.36049	0.29423	0.20965	0.2022	0.20736	0.18062	-0.0254	0.28913	0			
MO	0.54598	0.45746	0.35337	0.35786	0.39932	0.28588	0.30723	0.35402	0.3406	0		
MU	0.35722	0.49369	0.32324	0.41837	0.41837	0.33353	0.28858	0.4856	0.32608	0.40166	0	
SR	0.36774	0.32721	0.21991	0.2491	0.24141	0.22776	0.20532	0.33568	0.22515	0.34242	0.33757	0

The pairs with highest structuring levels are shown in bold.

with 59.18% of polymorphism in *M. quadrifasciata* from Minas Gerais state. Paplauskienė et al. [21] observed a mean number of six bands per primer using 11 ISSR primers for a subspecies of *Apis mellifera*. Borba et al. [22], studying lineages of *Trichogramma*, a group of small parasitic wasps, using 11 ISSR primers reported a mean number of 16 bands per primer and a high polymorphism (96%).

The low value of genetic diversity ($H_e = 0.2616$ and $H_B = 0.2573$) herein observed has been reported in other studies based on molecular markers in stingless bees. Tavares et al. [23], using RAPD markers, observed an $H_e = 0.21$ for *M. mondury* and $H_e = 0.23$ for *M. rufiventris*. Borges et al. [24], based on studies of microsatellite markers in *Partamona helleri* that reported a genetic diversity (H_e) of 0.18. Nascimento et al. [20], analyzing the genetic variability of *M. quadrifasciata* with ISSR markers, reported a genetic diversity of $H_e = 0.20$. Such reduced values of genetic diversity might be related to the swarming behavior for formation of new nests. In this process, the new nest can only be founded within short distances since it will depend

on both food and workers from the mother colony up to its full establishment, which favors endogamy [25].

The two-hierarchical level AMOVA revealed a higher percentage of variation within localities (70.39%). The high concentration of genetic variability within localities is usually associated with lack or restrictions to gene flow among individuals from different localities, which might potentially lead to increased inbreeding within localities. When we partitioned the AMOVA into three hierarchical levels, placing São Francisco River as a geographic barrier among groups, no variation was observed, while the variation within localities remained high (70.43%).

The variation percentage detected by AMOVA and both Φ_{ST} (0.2961) and θ_B (0.3289) values showed that *M. mandacaia* is moderately structured through sampled localities when compared to other studies in stingless bees, where higher Φ_{ST} indexes suggest high genetic structuring ([26], $\Phi_{ST} = 0.90$; [27], $\Phi_{ST} = 0.76$ and 0.77 ; [20], $\Phi_{ST} = 0.59$), even though these studies were based on distinct molecular markers.

TABLE 5: Model comparison of posterior means for Bayesian H_B and θ_B by Hickory. Comma values for H_B and θ_B represent lower and upper limits for 97.5% of high posterior density. Best fit model chosen based on DIC is indicated in bold.

Model	H_B	θ_B	DIC
Full	0.2573 (0.2397–0.2759)	0.3289 (0.2746–0.3809)	2460.29
$f = 0$	0.2730 (0.2634–0.2826)	0.2859 (0.2546–0.3183)	2512.8
theta = 0	0.3585 (0.3506–0.3664)	0.2837 (0.2277–0.3486)	4573.75
f free	0.2519 (0.2417–0.2623)	0.3490 (0.3138–0.3842)	2567.54

Moreover, the pairwise Φ_{ST} matrix among localities also confirms the genetic differentiation of *M. mandacai* in Bahia state, revealing localities with high values of genetic structure, close to those reported by Nascimento et al. [20], while others presented low levels of structure (Table 5).

Mantel's test ($r = 0.4542$; $P < 0.01$) indicated a positive correlation among geographic and genetic distances, revealing an isolation by distance among sampled localities. Nascimento et al. [20] reported $r = 0.3998$ ($P < 0.05$) and also inferred that isolation by distance was present in *M. quadrifasciata*. This evidence corroborates the results of genetic structure obtained by AMOVA, Φ_{ST} , and Bayesian analysis.

Mantel's test values and the pairwise Φ_{ST} matrix among localities showed that the longer the geographic distance between them, the higher the genetic differentiation is. According to the Φ_{ST} matrix (Table 4), three groups can be distinguished: group 1 (1 (Paulo Afonso), 2 (Macururé), 3 (Uauá) and 4 (Juazeiro)); group 2 (5 (Lapão), 6 (Irecê), 7 (São Gabriel), 8 (Central) and 9 (Uibaí)); group 3 (10 (Morpará), 11 (Muquém do S. Francisco) and 12 (Serra do Ramalho)). The second group presented the lowest genetic differentiation among localities due to the increased geographical distance, when compared to groups 1 and 3, it presents intermediary Φ_{ST} values, as expected according to geographical distances. The groups 1 and 3 presented high levels of genetic differentiation when compared to group 2. However, the differentiation within groups 1 and 3 is as high as the differentiation between groups. This result might be related either to a preexisting differentiation among analyzed samples or to the geographic distance among the localities in this group.

The moderate genetic structure observed in this work could be explained due to the short period of separation among analyzed localities or else to a restricted gene flow. The restriction or lack of gene flow might be a consequence historical habitat fragmentation of biome Caatinga. Phylogeographic analyses using DNA sequences can help elucidate this scenario.

The environmental degradation has threatened bee populations worldwide [28], once woody and large trees, one of the main resources for nesting of bees, have decreased [29]. In spite of its continuous deforestation, there are only 11 protection areas (including national parks, ecological stations, and biological reserves) in Caatinga that, together, represent less than 1% of the original biome [30]. Taking into account the relevance of *M. mandacai* as pollinators to the maintenance of Caatinga and the increased environmental

impacts on this biome, our data suggest that further genetic studies, such as phylogeographic ones, are necessary to depict how the shape of genetic variation in this species across its geographic distribution is organized.




Acknowledgments

The authors would like to thank the coworkers from the Laboratório de Genética Molecular, particularly Bruno Almeida and Marcus Canário Viana, for laboratory assistance, Dr. Ana Karina de Francisco, Dr. Paulo L. S. Carneiro, Dr. Juvenal C. Silva Junior, and Msc. Margarete Werneck for helping in the analysis, Fundação de Amparo à Pesquisa do Estado da Bahia (FAPESB) for the financial support, the bee keepers for proving the samples along the diverse localities in Bahia state, and Universidade Estadual do Sudoeste da Bahia for the facilities.

References

- [1] F. A. Silveira, G. A. R. Melo, and E. A. B. Almeida, *Abelhas Brasileiras: Sistemática e Identificação*, IDMA, Belo Horizonte, Brazil, 1st edition, 2002.
- [2] H. Batalha-Filho, A. M. Waldschmidt, and R. M. O. Alves, "Distribuição potencial da abelha sem ferrão *endêmica da Caatinga*, *Melipona mandacai* (Hymenoptera, Apidae)," *Magistra*, vol. 23, pp. 129–133, 2011.
- [3] R. M. O. Alves, C. A. L. Carvalho, and B. A. Souza, "Notas sobre a Bionomia de *Melipona mandacai* (Apidae: Meliponina)," *Magistra, Cruz das Almas-BA*, vol. 19, no. 3, pp. 204–212, 2007.
- [4] I. R. Leal, M. Tabarelli, and J. M. C. Silva, *Ecologia e conservação da Caatinga*, Universidade Federal de Pernambuco, Recife, Brazil, 2003.
- [5] I. R. Leal, A. Vicente, and M. Tabarelli, "Herbivoria por caprinos na Caatinga: uma estimativa preliminar," in *Ecologia e Conservação da Caatinga*, I. R. Leal, M. Tabarelli, and J. M. C. Silva, Eds., pp. 695–715, Universidade Federal de Pernambuco, Recife, Brazil, 2003.
- [6] M. S. Castro and A. F. R. Teixeira, "Meliponicultura e meio ambiente," in *Encontro Estadual de Apicultura*, vol. 8, pp. 15–19, Anais Cruz das Almas, 2003.
- [7] F. C. V. Zanella and C. F. Martins, "Abelhas da Caatinga: Biogeografia, Ecologia e Conservação," in *Ecologia e Conservação da Caatinga*, UFPE, Ed., 2008.
- [8] D. F. Silva, A. M. A. Silva, A. B. Lima, and J. R. M. Melo, "Exploração da caatinga no manejo alimentar sustentável de pequenos ruminantes," in *Proceedings of the 2nd Congresso Brasileiro de Extensão Universitária*, Belo Horizonte, Brazil, 2004.

- [9] R. Conte, M. Sedrez Dos Reis, A. Mantovani, and R. Vencovsky, "Genetic structure and mating system of *Euterpe edulis* Mart. populations: A comparative analysis using microsatellite and allozyme markers," *Journal of Heredity*, vol. 99, no. 5, pp. 476–482, 2008.
- [10] M. M. Cavallari, *Estrutura genética de populações de Encholirium (Bromeliaceae) e implicações para sua conservação*, M.S. Dissertação (Mestrado em Agronomia), Escola Superior de Agricultura Luiz de Queiroz, Universidade de São Paulo, Piracicaba, Brazil, 2004.
- [11] A. D. Wolfe, "ISSR techniques for evolutionary biology," *Methods in Enzymology*, vol. 395, pp. 134–144, 2005.
- [12] A. M. Waldschmidt, T. M. Fernandes Salomão, E. G. De Barros, and L. De Antônio Oliveira Campos, "Extraction of genomic DNA from *Melipona quadrifasciata* (Hymenoptera: Apidae, Meliponinae)," *Brazilian Journal of Genetics*, vol. 20, no. 3, pp. 421–423, 1997.
- [13] W. Eiadthong, K. Yonemori, A. Sugiura, N. Utsunomiya, and S. Subhadrabandhu, "Identification of mango cultivars of Thailand and evaluation of their genetic variation using the amplified fragments by simple sequence repeat-(SSR-) anchored primers," *Scientia Horticulturae*, vol. 82, no. 1-2, pp. 57–66, 1999.
- [14] M. Nei, "Estimation of average heterozygosity and genetic distance from a small number of individuals," *Genetics*, vol. 89, no. 3, pp. 583–590, 1978.
- [15] M. P. Miller, *Tools for Population Genetic Analysis (TFPGA), Version 1.3: A Windows Program for Analysis of Allozyme and Molecular Population Genetic Data*, University of Northern Arizona, Flagstaff, Ariz, USA, 1997.
- [16] L. Excoffier, P. E. Smouse, and J. M. Quattro, "Analysis of molecular variance inferred from metric distances among DNA haplotypes: Application to human mitochondrial DNA restriction data," *Genetics*, vol. 131, no. 2, pp. 479–491, 1992.
- [17] L. Excoffier, G. Laval, and S. Schneider, "Arlequin 3.01. An integrated software package for population genetics data analysis," *Computational and Molecular Population Genetics Lab*, vol. 1, pp. 47–50, 2005.
- [18] K. E. Holsinger, P. O. Lewis, and D. K. Dey, "A Bayesian approach to inferring population structure from dominant markers," *Molecular Ecology*, vol. 11, no. 7, pp. 1157–1164, 2002.
- [19] K. E. Holsinger and P. O. Lewis, *Hickory: A Package for Analysis of Population Genetic Data v.1.0.4*, Department of Ecology and Evolutionary Biology, University of Connecticut, 2005.
- [20] M. A. Nascimento, H. Batalha-Filho, A. M. Waldschmidt, M. G. Tavares, L. A. O. Campos, and T. M. F. Salomão, "Variation and genetic structure of *Melipona quadrifasciata* Lepeletier (Hymenoptera, Apidae) populations based on ISSR pattern," *Genetics and Molecular Biology*, vol. 33, no. 2, pp. 394–397, 2010.
- [21] V. Paplauskienė, V. Ceksteryte, I. Paplauskienė, D. Tamasauskiene, and J. Racys, "The use of ISSR for the assessment of genetic diversity," *Biologija*, vol. 3, pp. 16–20, 2006.
- [22] R. S. Borba, M. S. Garcia, A. Kovaleski et al., "Genetic dissimilarity of lines of *Trichogramma westwood* (Hymenoptera: Trichogrammatidae) through ISSR markers," *Neotropical Entomology*, vol. 34, no. 4, pp. 565–569, 2005.
- [23] M. G. Tavares, E. H. Ribeiro, L. A. O. Campos, E. G. Barros, and M. T. V. A. Oliveira, "Inheritance pattern of RAPD markers in *Melipona quadrifasciata* (Hymenoptera: Apidae, Meliponinae)," *Journal of Heredity*, vol. 92, no. 3, pp. 279–282, 2001.
- [24] A. A. Borges, L. A.O. Campos, T. M. F. Salomão, and M. G. Tavares, "Genetic variability in five populations of *Partamona helleri* (Hymenoptera, Apidae) from Minas Gerais state, Brazil," *Genetics and Molecular Biology*, vol. 33, no. 4, pp. 781–784, 2010.
- [25] P. Nogueira-Neto, "Notas bionômicas sobre meliponíneos: III—Sobre a enxameagem," *Arquivos do Museu Nacional*, vol. 42, pp. 419–451, 1954.
- [26] H. Batalha-Filho, A. M. Waldschmidt, L. A. O. Campos, M. G. Tavares, and T. M. Fernandes-Salomão, "Phylogeography and historical demography of the neotropical stingless bee *Melipona quadrifasciata* (Hymenoptera, Apidae): Incongruence between morphology and mitochondrial DNA," *Apidologie*, vol. 41, no. 5, pp. 534–547, 2010.
- [27] M. G. Tavares, L. A. S. Dias, and A. A. Borges, "Genetic divergence between populations of the stingless bee urucu amarela (*Melipona rufiventris* group, Hymenoptera, Meliponini): Is there a new *Melipona* species in the Brazilian state of Minas Gerais?" *Genetics and Molecular Biology*, vol. 30, pp. 667–675, 2007.
- [28] C. D. Michener, *The Bees of the World*, Johns Hopkins University, School of Medicine, Baltimore, Md, USA, 2000.
- [29] E. L. Neves and M. S. Castro, "Mandaçaia: uma abelha-chave para a conservação da Caatinga. Candombá," *Revista Virtual, Salvador*, vol. 2, pp. 1–3, 2006.
- [30] The Nature Conservancy do Brasil & Associação Caatinga, "As unidades de conservação do bioma Caatinga," in *Biodiversidade da Caatinga: Áreas e Ações Prioritárias Para a Conservação*, J. M. C. Silva, M. Tabarelli, M. T. Fonseca, and L. V. Lins, Eds., pp. 295–300, Ministério do Meio Ambiente, Brasília, 2004.



Hindawi

Submit your manuscripts at
<http://www.hindawi.com>

